

MEETING MINUTES

KCA/ACEC PARTNERING MEETING

Thursday, May 22nd, 2008 10:00 AM

ACEC of Kansas Offices

Topeka, KS

1. Call to Order / Introductions:
 - a. Present: Chris Rech, Bill Brungardt, Clarence Munsch, Jason Mohler, Curt Mader, Doug Waltermath, Dave Comstock (Guest)
2. Approval of minutes from March 7th, 2008 – approved with no corrections/exceptions.
 - a. *Request by group that the minutes be distributed prior to the meeting to allow ample time for review in advance.*
3. Contracts: EJCDC / ConsensusDOCS – tabled
4. Building Information Models
 - a. MoDOT requires Geopak (sp?) in 3d. They then distribute as necessary. Their agreement includes a release of the engineering company from liability.
 - b. Concerns were discussed about how payment would be handled if this were an expectation prior to becoming a deliverable.
 - c. There is also uncertainty in the conversion of files, for example, from .dwg to .dgn formats. There are inherent compatibility issues between these two formats.
 - d. There was not expected to be a benefit in quantity calculations, but 3d could provide other benefits in areas such as drainage.
 - e. KDOT is requiring submittal of GPK files, but no one in the group was sure how they were being utilized or if they were being passed on to other parties.
 - f. Issues according to the group:
 - i. Scope definition
 - ii. Trickle down / transfer down of information – how far down the chain does it go and how to insure that the data remains intact as it is transferred.
 - iii. Liability – what are professional liability insurers doing about this?
 - iv. Payment for the time involved in making the data readily usable.
 - g. Suggested course of action:
 - i. Locate articles of BIM examples in Heavy/Highway construction (see e-mail sent by Bill B. for an example)
 - ii. Develop a collaboration statement
 - h. Issue tabled for further discussion at next meeting.
5. Post Construction Reviews:
 - a. Dave Comstock distributed copies of PET
 - b. Dave has contacted Dan Scherschligt in KDOT and asked the following questions:

- i. How is information gathered during the review communicated back to the designers?
 - ii. Who is involved?
 - iii. Is this mandatory? (yes) The attached forms have been approved for future use.
 - c. The group discussed the lack of contractor participation in the review process. It was suggested that Catherine Patrick and/or Scott Swanson be contacted to discuss this issue.
- 6. Construction Staking Issues:
 - a. As most participants in the group do not do their own staking, it was decided that this is an issue which we aren't in a position to address.
- 7. Common Ground Alliance:
 - a. No discussion
- 8. Other items not on agenda:
 - a. Constructability Reviews
 - i. Suggested that KDOT be reminded that the opportunity is there to perform these reviews not only in house, but with all participants in a project.
 - ii. This was one of the founding principles of this group.
 - b. KCA website does not provide ready access to information on our group. Chris Rech will contact Karen Wagaman (sp?) to discuss.
- 9. Next meeting:
 - a. The next meeting has been tentatively planned to coincide with the KCA Fall Days either Thursday, September 25th, or Friday, September 26th – depending on the schedule for the golf outing.